Multimeric Structure of the PomA/PomB Channel Complex in the Na\(^+\)-Driven Flagellar Motor of Vibrio alginolyticus
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It is known that PomA and PomB form a complex that functions as a Na\(^+\) channel and generates the torque of the Na\(^+\)-driven flagellar motor of Vibrio alginolyticus. It has been suggested that PomA works as a dimer and that the PomA/PomB complex is composed of four PomA and two PomB molecules. PomA does not have any Cys residues and PomB has three Cys residues. Therefore, a mutant PomB (PomB\(^{cl}\)) whose three Cys residues were replaced by Ala was constructed and found to be motile as well. We carried out gel filtration analysis and examined the effect of cross-linking between the Cys residues of PomB on the formation of the PomA/PomB complex. In the presence of dithiothreitol (DTT), the elution profile of the PomA/PomB complex was shifted to a lower apparent molecular mass fraction similar to that of the complex of the wild-type PomA and PomB\(^{cl}\) mutant. Next, to analyze the arrangement of PomA molecules in the complex, we introduced the mutation P172C, which has been shown to cross-link PomA molecules, into tandem PomA dimers (PomA–PomA). These mutant dimers showed a dominant-negative effect. DTT could restore the function of PomA–P172C and P172C–P172C, but not P172C–PomA. Interdimer and intradimer cross-linked products were observed; the interdimer cross-linked products could be assembled with PomB. The formation of the interdimer cross-link suggests that the channel complex of the Na\(^+\)-driven flagellar motor is composed of two units of a complex consisting of two PomA and one PomB, and that they might interact with each other via not only PomA but also PomB.
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Many bacteria have filamentous organelles called flagella that are used for motility. Bacterial flagella rotate by means of a motor, which is embedded in the membrane at the base of the flagellar filament. The direction of motor rotation, clockwise or counterclockwise, is reversibly regulated by chemotactic signals. The torque of the motor is generated by the electrochemical potential of specific ions across the cytoplasmic membrane. Two types of motors are known, H\(^+\)-driven and Na\(^+\)-driven. More than 40 proteins are needed to construct the motor. Genetic and physiological studies of the H\(^+\)-driven motors of Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium have identified two proteins, MotA and MotB, which have four and one transmembrane-spanning segments, respectively. MotA and MotB form a H\(^+\) channel complex from their transmembrane segments. The MotA/MotB complex surrounds the rotor part of the motor and functions as the stator part by being attached to the peptidoglycan layer of the cell via a binding motif in the periplasmic domain of MotB. To generate the torque of the flagellar motor, the conformation of the MotA/MotB complex is thought to be changed by the H\(^+\) flux, and this change is transmitted to FliG, which is a component of the rotor or motor/switch complex. This complex is formed by three proteins, FliG, FliM and FliN, and is required not only for torque generation, but also for flagellar assembly and for the regulation of the direction of rotation of the flagellar motor.

Some bacteria, such as alkaliphilic Bacillus and Vibrio species, use the electrochemical potential of Na\(^+\) ions to generate the torque of the flagellar motor. Four proteins—PomA, PomB, MotX, and MotY—have been shown to be necessary for the rotation of the polar flagellar motor of Vibrio spp., V. alginolyticus, V. parahaemolyticus, and V. cholerae. V. alginolyticus and V. parahaemolyticus also possess H\(^+\)-driven lateral flagellar motors. PomA and PomB are orthologous to MotA and MotB (Fig. 1) and interact functionally with each other (24, 25). MotX and MotY, which were first characterized as motor proteins in V. parahaemolyticus (26, 27), are unique to the Na\(^+\)-driven polar flagellar motor of Vibrio spp. (28, 29). Although their functions are not yet clear, a recent report showed that they co-fractionate with OmpA upon sucrose gradient centrifugation, which suggests that they are located in the outer membrane (30).

The Na\(^+\)-type motor has advantages over the H\(^+\)-type motor for studying the rotary mechanism in the driving force is easily manipulated by changing the Na\(^+\) concen-
tration. Furthermore, Na⁺-channel blockers such as amiloride and phenamil specifically inhibit the rotation of the Na⁺-type motor (31, 32). Based on several lines of evidence obtained using these techniques, a complex of PomA and PomB is thought to function as the Na⁺ channel. The PomA/PomB complex, solubilized by β-octylglucoside and purified and reconstituted into proteoliposomes, has the ability to conduct Na⁺ ions upon the generation of a transmembrane electrical gradient created by a diffusion potential of K⁺ using valinomycin (33). The Na⁺-conducting activity in proteoliposomes reconstituted with the PomA/PomB complex is specifically blocked by phenamil and no activity is detected in proteoliposomes reconstituted with only PomA. From studies of Na⁺ and H⁺ hybrid motors, we could convert the E. coli H⁺-driven motor into the Na⁺-driven motor by exchanging the MotA/MotB stator with the PomA/PotB complex (34). PotB is a chimeric joining the N-terminal transmembrane region of PomB to the extracellular C-terminal region of MotB. Based on its apparent molecular mass as measured by gel filtration chromatography, the isolated PomA/PomB complex retaining Na⁺ uptake activity seems to be composed of four PomA and two PomB molecules (33). However, purified PomA proteins form a stable homodimer when expressed without PomB, which suggests that PomA may also function as a dimer within a (PomA)₄(PomB)₂ complex. This idea is supported by the fact that when a Cys residue is introduced into PomA, which has no native Cys residues, a cross-link is formed at the motor site (25). Also, a tandem PomA dimer, in which two pomA genes are genetically fused and produce a single polypeptide when expressed, is functional as a torque generator (35). The introduction of a mutation into either or both halves of the tandem PomA dimer inhibits motor function. In a recent study, Braun and Blair (36) showed that MotB with a Cys substitution in its sole transmembrane segment forms a specifically cross-linked dimer when expressed with MotA, suggesting that MotB also functions as a dimer in the H⁺-driven flagellar motor.

Here, to obtain topological information about the PomA/PomB complex, we have carried out gel-filtration analysis of the PomA/PomB complex in the presence and absence of DTT. Furthermore, we prepared tandem fusion dimer proteins containing different combinations of wild-type PomA and a Cys-substituted PomA (P172C). These experiments suggest that PomA and PomB form a multimeric structure in which four copies of PomA are assembled and surround a PomB dimer. In addition, PomA and PomB are located close to each other in the complex.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, Growth Conditions, and Media**—V. alginolyticus strains NMB190 (Rif, Pof, Laf, ΔpomA) (37) and NMB191 (Rif, Pof, Laf, ΔpomAB) (38) were used and cultured at 30°C in VC medium: 0.5% (w/v) polypeptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 0.4% (w/v) K₂HPO₄, 5% (w/v) NaCl, 0.2% (w/v) glucose or in VPG medium: 1% (w/v) polypeptone, 0.4% (w/v) K₂HPO₄, 3% (w/v) NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) glycerol. For the swarm assay, a VPG-0.25% agar plate was used. E. coli strain JM109 (recA1, endA1, gyrA96, thi-1, hsdR17, relA1, supE44, λ-, Δlac-proAB; [F', traD36, proAB, lacI, lacZΔM15]) was used for DNA manipulations and cultured at 37°C in LB medium. When necessary, kanamycin was added to a final concentration of 100 µg/ml for Vibrio cells, or 50 µg/ml for E. coli cells. Plasmid pKS101, a PSU41-based plasmid, was constructed to carry hisg-pomA under lac promoter control (33). Plasmid pKS101-P172C (Hig₂-P172C) was constructed by inserting a 0.6-kb DraI–EcoRI fragment of pYA301-P172C (39) into the corresponding site of pKS101. Plasmid pKS102 harboring hisg-pomA and pomB under lac promoter control, was constructed by inserting a 1.0-kb HindIII fragment of pKS101 into the corresponding site of pSK602 (40). Plasmid pKS106 encodes a tandem PomA dimer (PomA-PomA) under lac promoter control, and was constructed by linking two pomA open reading frames in frame with a 22-residue linker, MHY-PYDVPĐIAEGRM-His₆, which comprises a hemagglutinin (HA)-tag and six histidines (His₆) (35). Figure 4A shows a schematic diagram indicating the restriction sites in the structure of PomA and PomA-PomA. Plasmids encoding mutant tandem PomA dimers were constructed by inserting fragments into the corresponding sites of pYA301-P172C as follows: pKS106 (PomA-P172C), 0.8-kb DraI fragment of pKS106 (PomA-PomA); pKS106 (P172C-PomA), 1.0-kb HindIII fragment of pKS106 (PomA-PomA), and pKS106 (P172C-P172C), 0.8-kb DraI fragment of pKS106 (P172C-PomA). Likewise, plasmids encoding tandem PomA dimers along with PomB were constructed by inserting fragments into the corresponding sites of pYA303 (40) as follows: pAAB101 (PomA-PomA-PomB), 0.8-kb DraI fragment of pKS106 (PomA-PomA-PomB), pAAB101 (P172C-PomA-PomB), 0.8-kb DraI fragment of pKS106 (P172C-PomA-PomB) (41). The PomA/PomB complex was purified as previously described (33); except that DTT was not used. Membrane vesicles were prepared from NMB191 cells harboring pKS102 disrupted by a French press and solubilized by 2.5% β-octylglucoside. From the solubilized extracts, the PomA/PomB complex was purified using a Ni-NTA column (QIAGEN) followed by a MiniQ column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The purified PomA/PomB complex was applied to a Superose 6 gel filtration column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) in the absence of 5 mM DTT. The column was equilibrated with buffer containing DTT when the sample contained DTT. Each fraction was analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with anti-PomA or anti-PomB antibody (41).

**Co-Elution Assay**—The co-elution assay of tandem PomA dimer with PomB was carried out by Ni-NTA agarose chromatography as described previously (33, 35). The Ni-NTA purified materials were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with anti-PomA or anti-PomB antibody.

**Co-Immunoprecipitation Assay**—Immunoprecipitation with anti-PomB antibody was carried out essentially as described previously (41), except that anti-PomB antibodies were coupled to the protein A-Sepharose CL-4B beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) using dimethylpimelimidate (Pierce Chemical) (42). Proteins bound to the resin were eluted as described previously (43), and
the eluates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with anti-HA (clone 12CA5) (Boehringer Mannheim) or anti-PomB antibody.

RESULTS

A Cys-Less PomB Mutant—PomA has Cys residues, whereas PomB has three, two of which, Cys8 and Cys10, are predicted to be in the cytoplasm. The other residue, Cys31, is in the transmembrane region (24) (Fig. 1). When SDS-PAGE was performed in the absence of a reducing reagent, wild-type PomB formed a cross-linked dimer (PomB\(_2\)) (Fig. 2A). Cross-linked PomB\(_2\) could not be observed in the presence of reducing reagent. At the same time, the dimer was still observed at the same level as in cells treated with NEM or expressed alone without PomA (data not shown). To determine the Cys residue responsible for the disulfide bond in PomB, mutants were constructed with Cys residues in one or more of positions 8, 10, and 31. When three Cys residues of PomB were replaced by Ala, this Cys-less PomB C8A/C10A/C31A mutant (PomB\(_{cl}\)) did not form the cross-linked dimer. Cells with PomB\(_{cl}\) swarmed at about two-thirds the rate of those with wild-type PomB (Fig. 2B). The PomB C31A mutant and both PomB C8A/C31A and C10A/C31A double mutants formed disulfide bonds, whereas C8A/C10A mutants did not (Fig. 2A). As shown in Fig. 2B, the swarms of cells with C8A/C31A or C10A/C31A mutants were slightly poorer than the swarms of cells with the C8A/C10A mutant. The replacement of Cys31 residue may be the cause because of the decrease in the swarms of cells with the C31A single PomB mutant. Similar results were observed when Ser replaced Cys31 in the C31S mutant (data not shown). The swimming profiles were observed by microscopy and showed that the swarming speeds were roughly correlated with the swimming speeds of the mutant cells while their chemotactic behaviors were normal.
PomB Proteins in the Isolated Torque-Generating Unit—
We performed gel filtration on the purified complex in the presence or absence of DTT (Fig. 3). Gel filtration was necessary to examine whether or not the complex isolated previously as the torque-generating unit, which is believed to be a complex of (PomA)₄(PomB)₂ (33), can form the cross-link between the two PomB proteins in the unit. Based on molecular markers and the micellar size of β-octylglucoside (21 kDa), the estimated molecular mass is approximately 170–180 kDa, which correlates with the molecular mass of the (PomA)₄(PomB)₂ complex (180 kDa). The purified PomA/PomB complex migrated between aldolase (158 kDa) and albumin (67 kDa) in the presence of DTT. PomA and PomB eluted in later fractions than in the absence of DTT, with an estimated molecular mass of ca. 90 kDa, half the size of the hypothetical complex in the absence of DTT. The DTT-dependent shift in the elution of the PomA/PomB complex could be due to a reduction of cross-linking between the two PomB proteins. To investigate this possibility, we isolated the PomA/PomB complex and analyzed it by gel filtration chromatography. PomA and PomB were visualized as a single polypeptide by anti-PomA or anti-PomB immunoblot profiles of the fractions shown. The bottom panel shows calibration of the column with molecular standard markers: thyroglobulin (660 kDa), ferritin (440 kDa), catalase (232 kDa), aldolase (158 kDa), albumin (67 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), chymotrypsinogen A (25 kDa) and RNase A (14 kDa).

Tandem PomA Dimers and Their Effects on Motility—
Previously, it was shown that tandem PomA dimers (PomA–PomA) expressed as a single polypeptide can function in the flagellar motor (33, 35). Tandem PomA dimers were isolated and analyzed. Black boxes indicate the membrane-spanning segments. Arrows indicate the positions where amino acid substitutions were introduced. White boxes indicate the 22-residue linker. Arrows indicate the positions where amino acid substitutions were introduced. White boxes indicate the 22-residue linker. (C) Swarm abilities of tandem PomA dimer mutants. NMB190 (∆pomA) cells expressing tandem PomA dimers or PomA monomer with P172C mutation were incubated on soft agar plates with or without DTT at 30°C for 6 h. (D) Dominant-negative effects of the mutant PomA dimers, using VIO5 (pomA⁺) as the host.
the periplasmic loop 3–4 of PomA monomer is replaced by Cys, cross-linking occurs between the two PomA molecules (25). Native PomA contains no Cys residues. To analyze the arrangement of the PomA molecules in the complex, we substituted Cys for the Pro172 residue in either or both of the PomA subunits of the tandem PomA dimer. The three mutant tandem PomA dimers were named PomA–P172C, P172C–PomA, and P172C–P172C (Fig. 4B).

The swarming ability of AmpomA cells expressing each of the Cys-substituted PomA dimers was assessed on soft agar in the absence or presence of DTT (Fig. 4C). When monomeric P172C PomA (His6–P172C) was expressed in Vibrio cells, swarming was restored in the presence of DTT, a finding consistent with the previous results for the P172C PomA monomer without a His tag. Cells carrying P172C–PomA swarmed at about 60% of the size of cells carrying PomA–PomA in the absence of DTT, whose swarm was unaffected by DTT as in the case PomA–PomA. On the other hand, the swarming ability of cells producing PomA–P172C or P172C–P172C was severely inhibited in the absence of DTT. As with His6–P172C, swarming was restored by the addition of DTT. Moreover, when cells containing PomA–P172C or P172C–P172C were placed under a dark-field microscope, swimming was found to be restored immediately following the addition of DTT (data not shown).

To investigate possible dominant-negative effects, each of the mutant tandem PomA dimers was expressed in VIO5 cells (Fig. 4D), which is wild-type for polar-flagellated motility. All three tandem PomA dimers carrying at least one P172C mutation exhibited dominant-negative effects. The P172C–P172C mutant dimer inhibited the swarming of VIO5 cells most severely (Fig. 4D, left panel). Swarming ability, except in the case of P172C–PomA, was restored in the presence of DTT (Fig. 4D, right panel). Taken together, these results suggest that tandem Cys-containing PomA dimers assemble into the torque-generating complex even when the Cys residues participate in disulfide cross-links.

Biochemical Analysis of Cys-Containing Tandem PomA Dimers—We have described the motility phenotypes of cells producing Cys-containing tandem PomA dimers. Next, we examined the proteins themselves. Membrane fractions were prepared from cells expressing the PomA monomer or tandem PomA dimer. The membrane fractions were then subjected to SDS-PAGE with or without reducing reagent followed by immunoblotting with anti-PomA antibody (Fig. 5). PomA has a molecular mass of 27 kDa. When SDS-PAGE was performed with DTT (Fig. 5, left panel), the tandem PomA dimer migrated at a position corresponding to about 50 kDa. The monomeric PomA tagged with hexameric His residues (His6–PomA and His6–P172C) migrated around 25 kDa. The entire tandem PomA dimers were stably expressed in the membrane, which confirmed results of previous mutagenesis studies (35).

When SDS-PAGE was carried out in the absence of DTT (Fig. 5, right panel), a cross-linked dimer was observed with His6–P172C. With the tandem PomA dimers containing P172C substitutions, bands were observed with higher apparent molecular masses than the bands detected with DTT. With PomA–P172C and P172C–PomA, bands were observed around 100 kDa (double asterisks), presumably corresponding to cross-linked tandem dimers. With P172C–P172C, we also detected a band with slightly faster mobility than in the presence of DTT (asterisk), which is probably due to intramolecular cross-linking and therefore has a slightly different conformation. When membrane vesicles containing P172C–P172C were treated with CuCl₂, this band was enhanced (data not shown). Thus the cross-linking patterns differ among the Cys-substituted tandem PomA dimers. The cross-linking occurred not only between tandem PomA dimers, but also in the case of P172C–P172C within a tandem dimer. We infer that the P172 residues of the periplasmic loop 3–4 of each tandem dimer are close to each other. This is probably true in the case of a tetrameric complex of consisting of four molecules of normal (non-tandem) PomA.

Interaction between Tandem PomA Dimer Mutants and PomB—We investigated the effects of the cross-linking of P172C-substituted PomA dimers on the interaction with PomB. We performed a co-elution assay on a Ni-NTA column in the absence of DTT (Fig. 6A). PomB co-eluted with His-tagged PomA–PomA, P172C–PomA, and PomA–P172C. Intermolecular cross-linking also occurred with the Cys-substituted dimers. PomB did not co-elute with P172C–P172C. To investigate whether or not the formation of cross-linked P172C-substituted tandem PomA dimers affects the interaction with PomB, we performed a co-elution assay in the presence of DTT (Fig. 6B). This abolished the cross-linking of tandem dimers with PomA–P172C and P172C–PomA, but had no significant effect on the interaction with PomB. PomA–PomA also interacted with PomB under these conditions. In the presence of DTT, PomB co-eluted with P172C–P172C, although in significantly lower amounts than with other tandem dimers.

We also analyzed the interaction between tandem PomA dimers and PomB using an immunoprecipitation assay using anti-PomB antibody. Immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with anti-PomB or anti-HA antibodies because the two PomA molecules in the tandem dimer are linked.
through 22 amino acid residues, including a hemagglutinin (HA) tag (Fig. 7). PomA\(\sim\)PomA, PomA\(\sim\)P172C, and P172C\(\sim\)PomA all co-precipitated with PomB. The mobilities of the cross-linked forms of PomA\(\sim\)P172C and P172C\(\sim\)PomA were different, which might have resulted from different conformations of the cross-linking of PomA\(\sim\)P172C and P172C\(\sim\)PomA. Although PomB immunoprecipitated significantly with the anti-PomB antibody, no P172C\(\sim\)P172C protein was detected. These results suggest that both reduced and cross-linked forms of PomA\(\sim\)P172C and P172C\(\sim\)PomA have the ability to interact physically with PomB, whereas P172C\(\sim\)P172C is able to do so only in the reduced form.

**DISCUSSION**

A previous study showed that the \(\beta\)-octylglucoside-solubilized PomA/PomB complex can be reconstituted into proteoliposomes and has Na\(^+\)-conducting activity (33). The purified Na\(^+\)-channel complex seems to be composed of four PomA and two PomB molecules with an estimated molecular mass of 175 kDa. This complex corresponds to the complex isolated in the present study in the absence of DTT. Our results indicate that the PomA/PomB complex in the presence of DTT is decreased to half its size in the absence of DTT. PomB has two Cys residues in its cytoplasmic region (Cys8 and Cys10) and one residue (Cys31) in its sole transmembrane segment (24). The PomA/PomB\(^c\) complex composed of PomA and PomB with its three Cys residues replaced by Ala elutes in the same manner as PomA/PomB in the presence of DTT. Cells expressing PomA and PomB\(^c\) are almost fully motile, which demonstrates that the PomA/PomB\(^c\) complex functions properly as a torque generator and Na\(^+\) channel.
Two PomB molecules are likely to be linked to each other via Cys8 and/or Cys10 by disulfide cross-linking in the complex.

We previously constructed a functional tandem PomA dimer, PomA–PomA. The tandem PomA dimer has proved to be a useful tool for studying the oligomeric state of PomA. The mutation P199L makes the motor non-functional in monomeric PomA. The mutation P199L was introduced into either the C- or the N-terminal subunit of the tandem dimer and was discovered to completely inhibit torque generation (35). The corresponding residue in E. coli MotA (Pro222) has been reported to be close to Asp32 of MotB and plays a critical role in regulating a conformational change that couples H^+ conduction to motor rotation (44, 45). From gel-filtration data, it was unclear whether or not and how PomA dimers within a (PomA)_2PomB complex then form the (PomA)_4(PomB)_2 complex (Fig. 3). To examine this question, we introduced the mutation P172C into the periplasmic loops of the tandem PomA dimer (Fig. 4B). With monomeric PomA, this mutation results in cross-linking and a loss of motor function. However, this function can be recovered by reducing the cross-link with DTT. Likewise, the tandem dimers PomA–P172C and P172C–P172C regain function upon the addition of DTT, whereas P172C–PomA is functional even in the absence of DTT (Fig. 4C). Thus, it is likely that the two PomA molecules in the dimer are not equivalent in function.

The intermolecular cross-linking profiles of PomA–P172C and P172C–PomA are different (Fig. 5). The Cys residues in PomA–P172C and P172C–PomA appear to be in different environments, which possibly explains the difference in cell motility associated with these two dimers in the absence of DTT. PomA–P172C forms additional cross-linked species other than the dimer, and these could be cross-linked with unknown proteins, or be different structural forms of cross-linked PomA–P172C, consistent with the observation that PomA–P172C is less functional than P172C–PomA. With P172C–P172C, a band with a faster mobility than the reduced form was detected under non-reducing conditions (Fig. 5). Such mobility shifts could be the result of intramolecular disulfide-bond formation. This has been observed when double Cys mutations were introduced into certain loops of the tetracycline/H^+ antiporter (46). We suggest that the two periplasmic loops 3–4 in the P172C–P172C molecule are close to each other; however, the alignment of the transmembrane segments has not been clarified. Three of the tandem PomA dimers we constructed showed dominant-negative effects implying that the cross-linked forms can be inserted into the motor and prevent its function. Although reduction of the cross-link by DTT restored the interaction with PomB and swarming ability, the cross-linked form of P172C–P172C could not bind PomB (Figs. 6 and 7). These results seem to be inconsistent with the idea that PomA is fixed in the motor by forming a complex with PomB, which is attached to the peptidoglycan layer (10, 15, 16, 24). Tandem dimers with P199L mutations also could not bind PomB, yet exhibited negative dominance (34). They might be interacting with MotX or MotY, whose functions are not known but are essential for the rotation of the Na^+–driven motor and seem to be located in the outer membrane (30).

Based on the present and previous results, we propose a hypothetical structural model in which the (PomA)_4(PomB)_2 complex is formed from two (PomA)_2PomB complexes with the two PomB molecules facing each other. The previous study showed that when expressed alone without PomB, PomA does not form a homotetramer but a stable homodimer (41). The association of PomB with PomA–P172C and P172C–PomA does not seem to be affected by whether or not these tandem dimers become cross-linked. In fact, pre-cross-linked tandem dimers can subsequently interact with PomB. Moreover, the (PomA)_2PomB complex seems to be stable under certain conditions where reducing reagents exist and the Cys residues of PomB are replaced with Ala. From these results, we speculate that the PomA dimer and the PomB monomer are pre-assembled into the (PomA)_2PomB complex and then associate with each other to form the (PomA)_4(PomB)_2 complex, which involves both PomA and PomB interactions. Another possibility is that PomB is first dimerized and then two copies of the PomA dimer are assembled with (PomB)_2. Whether or not the process of assembly in vivo is obligatory is uncertain and further analysis is needed for clarification.

Asp24 in PomB is believed to bind Na^+ and to be located at the interface between PomA and PomB. We propose that it forms a Na^+ channel with both subunits of the PomA homodimer and that the PomA/B complex has two Na^+–conducting pores. The idea of two-channel complexes in the flagellar motor is supported by studies using the Na^+–channel blocker phenamil, which specifically impairs motor function, presumably by blocking the Na^+ ion binding site (31, 47). Mutations resulting in phenamil resistance have been isolated for both PomA and PomB (40, 48), and the mutation D148Y in PomA has been characterized in some detail. Phenamil resistance resulted when this mutation was introduced into either the N-terminal or the C-terminal subunit of the tandem PomA dimer. The resistance was equivalent to the resistance that results from the introduction of mutations into both subunits (35). This result suggests that both subunits in PomA dimer synergistically form the binding site for phenamil. Furthermore, by implication, both subunits of the PomA dimer are necessary along with PomB for Na^+ conductance.

In the H^+-driven flagellar motor, Trp-scanning was performed against the transmembrane helices of MotA and MotB. Whether or not substitutions with Trp residues could be tolerated was determined by examining the interfaces directed toward the lipid (49, 50). These studies proposed the topological arrangement of the helices in the MotA/MotB complex. A single helix of MotB is tilted relative to the helices of MotA and the cytoplasmic end of the MotB helix is embedded in the complex. This structural model was presented based on the assumption that the force-generating unit is a 1 : 1 complex of MotA and MotB and that the five transmembrane helices comprise an ion channel. In E. coli, Braun and Blair (36) performed a systematic Cys-substitution against a single transmembrane segment of MotB, which is the PomB ortholog of the H^+-driven flagellar motor of E. coli. They found the periodical formation of cross-links on one face of the α-helix with or without the co-expression of MotA. Therefore, it was suggested that the two copies of MotB
form a dimer in the MotA/MotB complex and the two Asp residues are displayed on separate faces. Asp24 in PomB is the only negatively charged residue in the transmembrane segments of the PomA/PomB complex. It is highly conserved among the PomB (MotB) orthologs of various species of H+- and Na+-driven flagellar motors and is thought to be directly involved in ion conduction. Previous studies have suggested that Asp32 in MotB of E. coli, which corresponds to Asp24 of PomB of V. alginolyticus, faces MotA to form the H+ channel (44, 49, 50). The topological model was revised based on the stoichiometry of the force-generating unit (4:2 complex of MotA and MotB) (51). TM3 and TM4 of MotA are arranged in an inner layer around the TM of MotB. TM1 and TM2 of MotA are placed on the outside. This is consistent with our data that two periplasmic loops in the A subunit molecule are close to each other. However, the topological arrangement of the transmembrane helices has yet to clarify either the packing profile of the transmembrane helices. To understand the topology of the transmembrane helices with the torque-generating unit is important to reveal the energy-coupling mechanism of the flagellar motor. This work was supported in part by grants-in-aid for scientific research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan and the Japan Science and Technology Corporation (to T.Y.), and from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (to T.Y.). We thank R.M. Macnab for critically reading the manuscript and K. Sato for helpful suggestions and discussion. We dedicate this article to Robert M. Macnab, Professor of Yale University in Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry. He passed away on September 7, 2003 at the age of 63. He was a kind, gentle, fair, and unforgettable person.
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